

Optimal Control

Lecture 5

Solmaz S. Kia
Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Dept.
University of California Irvine
solmaz@uci.edu

Reading: Sections 2.1 and 2.2 from Ref.[2]

Optimal control of multi-stage systems over finite horizon

Minimum energy control for linear LTI systems with fix final state

$$u^* = \operatorname{argmin} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u_k^\top R_k u_k, \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= Ax_k + Bu_k, \\x_0 &= x_0, \quad x_N = r_N.\end{aligned}$$

$$u_k^* = R^{-1}B^\top (A^\top)^{N-k-1} G_{0,N}^{-1} (r_N - A^N x_0).$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}G_{0,N} &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A^{N-i-1} B R^{-1} B^\top (A^\top)^{N-i-1} \\&= \underbrace{[B \quad AB \quad \dots \quad A^{N-1}B]}_{U_N} \begin{bmatrix} R^{-1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & R^{-1} \end{bmatrix} [B \quad AB \quad \dots \quad A^{N-1}B]^\top\end{aligned}$$

- if $|R| \neq 0$, solution exists ($G_{0,N}$ is invertible) if system is reachable (U_N is full rank)
 - $N \geq n$ (recall Cayley-Hamilton theorem)
- This is an open-loop control (depends only on r_N and x_0)
 - If system deviates, there is no way to notice the deviation and respond to it. This is not a robust controller.

Couple of points about this problem.

- * If there was no control $x(k+1) = Ax(k)$, then $x_k = A^k x_0 \Rightarrow x_N = A^N x_0$. Thus $A^N x_0$ is the difference between the desired and undriven final state; it makes sense that u_k^* should depend on this quantity.
- * this is an open-loop control. It can be precomputed knowing only the given x_0 and desired x_N and it is independent of the value of x_k in $k \in [0, N]$. This means that if we apply u_k^* as calculated to the actual system all is well as long as the system model is accurate and nothing occurs to cause x_k to deviate from the optimal trajectory. In practice, however, nature is seldom cooperative. Modeling uncertainties and noise cause errors in x_k and the control does not take these errors into account.

- * to compute $G_{0,N}$ we can use the alternative approach. The

solution to the Lyapunov equation

$$P_{k+1} = AP_k + BR^{-1}B^T, \quad k > 0$$

$$\text{is } P_k = A^k P_0 (A^k)^T + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{k-i-1} B R^{-1} B^T (A^i)^T$$

So if we solve this equation with $P_0 = 0$, then $G_{0,k} = P_k$ for each k . First this recursion is solved to compute $G_{0,N}$ and then $U^* k$.

Does this control exist?

$G_{0,N}$ should be invertible!

$G_{0,N}$ is the weighted reachability gramian of the system.

In terms of the system reachability matrix $U_k = [B \ AB \ \dots \ A^{k-1}B]$

it can be written as

$$G_{0,N} = U_N \begin{bmatrix} R^{-1} & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & R^{-1} \end{bmatrix} U_N^T$$

If $R=I$, then $G_{0,N} = U_N U_N^T$. The optimal control exists iff $|G_{0,N}| \neq 0$.
Since we assume $|R| \neq 0$, this is equivalent to U_N having full rank n , where n is the state dimension ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$). Therefore, we can drive any x_0 to any desired $x_N = r_N$ for some N iff the system is reachable. Since reachability implies U_N has full rank for all $j \geq 0$, if the system is reachable we can guarantee the existence of a control to drive x_0 to $x_N = r_N$ for any r_N by selecting $N \geq n$.

Bryson (1975)

Sweeping method $\lambda_k = S_k x_k \leftarrow k=0, \dots, n-1$

Assume

Let's see if this consistent with
the optimality conditions.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} * R_k u_k + B_k^T \lambda_{k+1} = 0 \Rightarrow u_k^* = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1}, \quad k=0, \dots, N-1 \end{array} \right.$$

$$u_k = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1} = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1}$$

$$x_{k+1} = A_k x_k + B_k (-R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1}) x_{k+1}$$

$$x_{k+1} = (I + B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1})^{-1} A_k x_k$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{costate eq } \lambda_k = Q_k x_k + A_k^T \lambda_{k+1} \quad k=1, \dots, N-1 \\ \text{constate eq } \lambda_k = Q_k x_k + A_k^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} \end{array} \right.$$

$$S_k x_k = Q_k x_k + A_k^T S_{k+1} (I + B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1})^{-1} A_k x_k$$

has to hold for any x_k

and usually $x_k \neq 0$

$$S_k = Q_k + A_k^T S_{k+1} (I + B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1})^{-1} A_k$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Matrix inversion Lemma } (A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + V A^{-1} U)V A^{-1} \end{array} \right.$$

$$S_k = A_k^T (S_{k+1}^{-1} + B_k R_k^{-1} B_k^T)^{-1} A_k + Q_k$$

$\rightarrow \{S_k\}_{k=1}^{N-1}$

$S_N > 0, Q_N > 0 \rightarrow S_k > 0$

↳ this can be computed off-line!

$$\lambda_k = S_k x_k \quad k=1, \dots, N$$

$$U_k^* = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T \lambda_{k+1} = -R_k^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1}$$

$$U_k^* = -[(B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k + R_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k] x_k$$

Kalman Gain $\leftarrow K_k \leftarrow$ can be computed off-line

$$U_k^* = -K_k x_k$$

closed-loop control

↳ Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

controller

* Robust to deviation from optimal trajectory (modeled one)

LQR (finite time)

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 & u_k = -k_k x_k \Rightarrow x_{k+1} = (A_k - B_k k_k) x_k \\
 & \text{at } N: S_N \leftarrow \text{known} \\
 & K_k = (B_k^T S_{k+1} B_k + R_k)^{-1} B_k^T S_{k+1} A_k \\
 & S_k = A_k^T S_{k+1} (A_k - B_k k_k) + Q_k \\
 & \quad \downarrow \text{Joseph stabilized form} \\
 & \quad \downarrow S_k = (A - B_k k_k)^T S_{k+1} (A_k - B_k k_k) + R_k k_k + Q_k
 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

As J^{opt}
 as possible
 * as
 small
 as possible

Even if (A, B) time-invariant, optimal gain

$\{k_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ is time varying.

* Can I use a suboptimal control with fixed gain?

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S_N x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_k^T Q_k x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k$$

0 \longrightarrow i \longrightarrow N

(est to go $[i, N]$)

$$\mathcal{J}_i = \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S_N x_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=i}^{N-1} x_k^T Q_k x_k + u_k^T R_k u_k$$

observe $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=i}^{N-1} (x_{k+1}^T S_{k+1} x_{k+1} - x_k^T S_k x_k) = \frac{1}{2} x_N^T S_N x_N - \frac{1}{2} x_i^T S_i x_i$

$\mathcal{J}_i^* = \frac{1}{2} x_i^T S_i x_i$ $\leftarrow S_i$, then
you have \mathcal{J}^*